

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	IO. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/056,275 01/23/2002		01/23/2002	Irina Medvedev	020038 8555	
23696	7590	09/12/2005	:	EXAMINER	
Qualcom	ım Incorpor	rated	PEREZ, ANGELICA		
	epartment rehouse Driv	re	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2684	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/056,275	MEDVEDEV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Perez M. Angelica	2684	

		r erez ivi. Angelica	2004	
The M	IAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILE	D 26 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply wa this applicat places the a (3) a Reque following tin	as filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or ion, applicant must timely file one of the follopplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Nost for Continued Examination (RCE) in compine periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
	od for reply expiresmonths from the mailing o			
event, ho	d for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv wever, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS	Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).).		
peen filed is the date CFR 1.17(a) is calcu above, if checked. A	ay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on for purposes of determining the period of extension a lated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stany reply received by the Office later than three month djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). EAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
of filing the Since a Noti	of Appeal was filed on A brief in com Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e ice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS				
(a) 🔲 They r	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, raise new issues that would require further co raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They a	are not deemed to place the application in bei	· ·	educing or simplifying	the issues for
	present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims	
	E: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		geolea ciairris.	
	ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandmant	(DTOL 324)
	reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
	osed or amended claim(s) would be a		time also filed and a dust	ant consoling
	wable claim(s).	mowable il submitted in a separate	, umely liled amendir	ient canceling
7. X For purpose how the new	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is proof the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will be will will will be will will will will will will will wil	vill be entered and an	explanation of
	owed: <u>1-26,32-38 and 40-43</u> .			
	ected to:			
	ected: <u>27-31 and 39</u> .			
	hdrawn from consideration:			
	THER EVIDENCE			
because app	t or other evidence filed after a final action, be plicant failed to provide a showing of good an earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲 The affidavi	t or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be
	ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o			
	ood and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	•		•
	vit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
	RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. 🔲 The reques	st for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	ttached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
3. ⊠ Other: <u>The</u>	application is found not to be in condition for	r allowance for the following reason	ns: The applicant argi	<u>red: "there is</u>
io need for a pers	son of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ady solves the power allocation problem." The	e method of allocating power accor	rging to SNR of Bae e	t al. with
Bae and Nystrom	center their inventions to the allocation of po	wer. Nystrom nower allocation is d	lenendent on the amo	proper. Both
equested caused	by insuffert nower to support a certain num	her of users. When never is insuff	icont it is reflected in	o low signal to

noise ratio. Thus, both references deal with allocating power according to a SNR reference.

EDAN ORGAD

PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

July 9/0/05